

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/277,575	03/27/1999		MARTHA KAREN NEWELL	V00139/70028 3748	
7590 05/03/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
HELEN C L WOLF GREE			VANDERVEGT, FRANCOIS P		
600 ATLAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, M	IA 02210	0	1644		

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/277,575	NEWELL, MARTHA KAREN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 De	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 December 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,8-13,39,44,143,144,147 and 149</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>3,4,8-13,39,44,143,144,147 and 149</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/277,575

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

This application claims the benefit of the filing date of provisional applications 60/082,250, 60/101,580 and 60/094,519.

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 14-38, 40-43, 45-142, 145, 146 and 148 have been canceled.

New claim 149 has been added.

Claims 3, 4, 8-13, 39, 44, 143, 144, 147 and 149 are currently pending and are the subject of examination in the present Office Action.

1. In view of Applicant's amendment filed December 28, 2004 no outstanding ground of rejection is maintained.

The following represents a new ground of rejection and necessitates that this Office Action be made NON-FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 3, 4, 8-13, 39, 44, 143, 144, 147 and 149 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for decreasing mitochondrial membrane potential in a tumor cell *in vitro*, does not reasonably provide enablement for decreasing mitochondrial membrane potential in a tumor cell *in vivo*. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims, reading upon a treatment for cancer, are broadly drawn to contacting tumor cells with an amount of an MHC class II HLA-DR inducing agent and administering an HLA-DR ligand to the tumor cell for the disclosed purpose of delivering a medicament or lytic agent to the tumor cell. The specification is not enabling for the treatment of cancer in this manner.

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986). They include the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the amount of direction or guidance disclosed in the specification, the presence or absence of working examples, the predictability or unpredictability of

Application/Control Number: 09/277,575

Art Unit: 1644

Page 3

the art, the breadth of the claims, and the quantity of experimentation which would be required in order to practice the invention as claimed.

HLA-DR is a family of HLA class II haplotypes that is not specific to a tumor cell but is specific to the human subject being treated. As such, class II HLA-DR molecules of the same haplotype are expressed on every antigen presenting cell in that subject's body. Based upon the level of knowledge of the artisan, the artisan would expect that every HLA-DR molecule on every antigen presenting cell in that subject's body was equally capable of up-regulating expression of HLA-DR and capturing said ligand. Capture would not be limited to the cells of the cancer. Accordingly, rather than inducing a response specifically against/in the cancer cells, the artisan would predict that a more generalized response would be generated in all antigen presenting cells in any part of the body. The claims are not limited to, and the specification does not disclose a mechanism for, specifically targeting the peptide to the HLA-DRexpressing cells of the tumor without allowing normal antigen presenting cells of the subject to also capture and be affected by the ligand binding to HLA-DR.

In view of the nature of the invention, the state of the art, the unpredictability of the art, the lack of sufficient guidance in the specification and the breadth of the claims, it would take undue trials and errors to practice the claimed invention and this is not sanctioned by the statute.

Conclusion

- 3. No claim is allowed.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Pierre VanderVegt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0852. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-4:00 and Alternate Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

F. Pierre VanderVegt, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner April 28, 2005

DAVID SAUNDERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 182